

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/733,795		ROLES ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	JASON MITCHELL		2193	

All Participants:

(1) JASON MITCHELL.

(2) Mark Williams.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: proposed claim amendment (attached).

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103 rejection of claim 1 in view of Oulu Fontana and Marlin.

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 14, 25, 28, 36-37

Prior art documents discussed:

Oulu Fontana and Marlin.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated the addition of e.g. the marlin reference would result in a final action and suggested amending the claims to reflect the previously indicated allowable subject matter. Applicant agreed and provided the proposed amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jason Mitchell/
Examiner, Art Unit 2193

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)